Winds in AGN in a multiwavelength context

Chris Done, University of Durham Chichuan Jin, Kouchi Hagino

PLP NE LI

CeVT Phy

- 'Complex' NLS1 (Gallo 2006) eg 1H0707-495
- Deep dips hard spectra, large Fe features
- Tiny source <2Rg from high spin BH





Or complex wind absorption?

- PDS456 and APM08279 both clear winds –
- Clumpy, complex (high and low ξ together)
- 1H0707 fits as well, 5-10 Rg source





Hagino et al 2016

Complex NLS1 – X-ray view

- Extreme spin with reflection from flat disc
- Or wind absorption with no constraints on spin!! Can probably do lags as well from wind



Hagino et al 2016

Magnetically driven Winds

- Unknown!!
- Need specific B field geometry but 8000 then can get powerful wind from 6000 inner disc
- What about other winds which we can calculate?



Danoity

Fukumura et al 2014

SuperEddington winds

- Eddington limit
- inward gravity balanced by outward radiation pressure on electrons
- $F_{grav} = (1 L/L_{Edd}) GM/R^2$
- superEddington flows:
- $L > L_{Edd}$
- But disc geometry?

ZZ V NNN	
\rightarrow \leftarrow	
www zz	

SuperEddington winds

- Ldisc>Ledd means exceeds Eddington limit in inner regions
- Launch wind from inner disc - fast, v~0.3c for launch radius ~20Rg



SuperEddington winds

- Powerful L_{KE}~L_{rad}
- Clumpy, complex
- Takeuchi, Ohsuga, Mineshige (2013)
- Local AGN L<LEdd



- Momentum absorbed in line accelerates wind so more momentum absorbed in line
- UV line cross-section much bigger than electron scattering, so wind at $L_{UV} \sim \sigma_{es} \sigma_{UV} L_{edd} << L_{Edd}$



- Momentum absorbed in line accelerates wind so more momentum absorbed in line
- UV line cross-section much bigger than electron scattering, so wind at $L_{UV} \sim \sigma_{es} \sigma_{UV} L_{edd} << L_{Edd}$



- Momentum absorbed in line accelerates wind so more momentum absorbed in line
- UV line cross-section much bigger than electron scattering, so wind at $L_{UV} \sim \sigma_{es} \sigma_{UV} L_{edd} << L_{Edd}$



- Momentum absorbed in line accelerates wind so more momentum absorbed in line
- UV line cross-section much bigger than electron scattering, so wind at $L_{UV} \sim \sigma_{es} \sigma_{UV} L_{edd} << L_{Edd}$



- Strong (but sub LEdd) UV radiation
- Low ionisation state in disc photosphere so abundant ions with UV line transitions weak FUV/X-ray irradiation!



- Clumpy, complex
- 10^8 Msun, L/Ledd = 0.5 BUT wind depends on SED and AGN not pure discs. Proga & Kallman 2004,

Velocity

2.5

x(cm)

-16.0

3.0

-15.0

3.5

-14.0

4.0

-13.0

4.5 $\times 10^{16}$

-12.0



BHB: template for SED L/Ledd?



Gierlinski & Done 2003

Scaling black hole accretion flow



- Scale up to AGN
- Bigger mass!
- Disc temp lower peaks in UV (more power, but more area!)
- ATOMIC PHYSICS
- Larger RANGE in mass -from 10⁵-10¹⁰M
- And maybe bigger range in spin??

BHB: template for SED L/Ledd?



Gierlinski & Done 2003

'Spectral states in AGN'

Disc BELOW X-ray bandpass. Peaks in UV – ATOMIC PHYSICS



XMM-Newton gives us simultaneous OM data ! Perfect

Interstellar absorption

Disc BELOW X-ray bandpass. Peaks in UV – ATOMIC PHYSICS



XMM-Newton gives us simultaneous OM data ! Perfect

Typical AGN SED

- Most standard BLS1/QSO <M>~10⁸, <L/LEdd>~0.1
- Outer disc, strong UV peak from soft X-ray excess
- hard X-ray tail supresses powerful UV line driving



Jin et al 2012

Very different to NLS1

- <M>~10⁷, <L/LEdd>~1 NLS1 in local universe
- Disc dominated, small SX, weak X-rays



Mass dependence!!

- NLS1 M~ 10^{6-7} , L/LEdd~1 X-ray weak
- But disc peaks in soft X-rays overionises UV
- $M \sim 10^{9-10}$, L/LEdd~1 Disc peaks in UV NOT soft X-rays.
- This really is PERFECT for UV line driving



Mass dependence!!

- NLS1 M~ 10^{6-7} , L/LEdd~1 X-ray weak
- But disc peaks in soft X-rays overionises UV
- $M \sim 10^{9-10}$, L/LEdd~1 Disc peaks in UV NOT soft X-rays.
- This really is PERFECT for UV line driving
- SED of PDS456
- Biggest local UFO
- SED of APM08279
- Biggest high z UFO



2015

Hagino et al

PDS456: UFO as UV line wind?

- High column, v~0.2c
- KE enough to do feedback (Reeves et al 2003)
- high ionisation
- H and He-like Fe only
- so no UV line opacity!
- Reeves et al 2003,2009 Hagino et al 2015 Matzeu et al 2017



Launch close to disc, then ionise?

- UV line driving gives fast acceleration close to disc surface
- Material ionised after acceleration, removing UV opacity on line of sight
- Prediction powerful UV line driven winds in M~10⁹, L~LEdd
- APM08279
- WISSH QSO Piconcelli



PDS456: UFO wind is clumpy

• High ionisation lines AND low energy absorption



Done & Jin 2016



Winds from low mass NLS1?

- NLS1 M~ 10^{6-7} , L/LEdd~1 X-ray weak
- But disc peaks in soft X-rays overionises UV
- Need L>>LEdd for wind in NLS1 M~10⁶⁻⁷



Extreme NLS1 RX0439

- $M=7x10^6$ Msun
- Mdot though outer disc is 12x Eddington and zero spin
- Lobs=4.6LEdd
- Winds/advection





Jin et al 2017

Extreme NLS1 RX0439

- Mdot=12MdotEdd
- Lobs=4.6LEdd wind and/or advection
- No absorption features— face on ??



Jin et al 2017

1H0707-495 Extreme NLS1

- 1H0707
- $2-4x10^{6}$

25

20

15 F

10F

4400

F(λ) (10⁻¹⁷ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹Å⁻¹)

- L/Ledd = 11, 4070(60 degrees)
- superEddington



1H0707-495 Extreme NLS1

- 1H0707
- $2-4 \times 10^{6}$
- L/Ledd = 11, 40 70
 a=0 0.9 0.998
 60 degrees 4x10⁶
- superEddington
- Strong wind, losing energy so not all potential power radiated



Extreme NLS1 – simple / complex



Done & Jin 2016

PDS456: UFO wind is clumpy

• High ionisation lines AND low energy absorption



Done & Jin 2016



1H0707 shows very similar range of spectral shapes to PDS456



IRAS13224

- IRAS13224 Parker et al 2017 clear UFO!!
- Called 'twin' of 1H0707, interpreted as similarly highly relativisitic reflection (Ponti et al 2009) – probably similarly superEddington (Leighly 2004)



Conclusions – most powerful winds

- Quantatative AGN feedback
- SED L/LEdd and M
- high M, L~LEdd UV bright, X-ray weak, UV driving
- Eddington wind L>LEdd
- Both at z~2-3 QSO epoch
- Clumpy, complex los





Which wind goes where? L~0.1LEdd





Which wind goes where? L~0.1LEdd





Nature of soft excess region?

• UV disc - UV line driving, overionised by X-rays so falls back down..







10⁶ versus 10⁹ M



Hagino et al 2014



Which wind goes where? L~LEdd, M~10⁹⁻¹⁰



Ultra Fast Outflows: UFOs

- High column,
- High v~0.2c
- high ionisation
- $\xi = L/nR^2$

Log ξ = 5
Reeves et al 2003;
2009; Hagino et al
2015; Matzeu et al
2016

X-ray warm absorbers

- NGC3783
- Lower column
- Log Nh =20-22
- V~200-1000 km/s
- Log x = 1-3
- Multiphase
- Not much KE Blustin et al 2005 Mathur et al 1997

Netzer et al 2003 Holczer et al

UV narrow absorption

- Lowest ξ X-ray absorbers are 'high ionisation' UV
- Mathur et al 1997

Park et al 2013

BAL QSOs

- BAL QSOs: v=0-0.15c
- Highish column
- Log Nh=21
- in 10% QSOs

The BLR itself?

- BLR!!
- Smoothness of profile implies very larger number of 'clouds'
- Lowest L AGN shows can't be bloated stars as these are too large!
- Continuous distribution of material
 wind/disc? Chiang & Murray 1995

Francis et al 1997

Dust driven winds ?

- Ldust/Ledd = $\sigma_{es}/\sigma_{dust}$
- ~ 0.01-0.001 Dust has big cross-section! Fabian et al 2008
- Torus face outflowing?
- BLR dust driven wind Czerny & Hryniewicz 2011
- H β radius ≈ 1600 K, Galiani & Horne 2013

Czerny & Hryniewicz 2011

Thermally driven Winds

- Direct illumination or scattering from wind...
- X-ray source irradiates top of disc, heating it to Compton temperature
- T_{IC} depends only on spectrum - L_{irr} only controls depth of layer

Begelman McKee Shields 1983

Thermally driven Winds

- Direct illumination or scattering from wind...
- X-ray source irradiates top of disc, heating it to Compton temperature
- T_{IC} depends only on spectrum
- $kT_{IC} = GMmp/R_{IC}$
- Thermal wind from large radii slow
- WA: BLR and torus?? Kriss & Krolik 2001

Begelman McKee Shields 1983 Jimenez Garate et al 2002

Models conserving energy!!

- Smaller R_{corona}
- Softer 2-10 keV corona
- Spectra are more disc dominated!
- Weak soft X-ray excess and weak corona
- X-ray bolometric correction CHANGES!!
- Vasudevan & Fabian 2007; 2009

Done et al 2012

Models conserving energy!!

- Outer standard disc down to R_{corona}
- Then luminosity not completely thermalised to make soft X-ray excess ?
- Failed UV line driven wind? And/or H ionisation instability
- Inner corona as in hard state BHB (L/LEdd?)

Done et al 2012

Mass dependence!!

- NLS1 M~ 10^{6-7} , L/LEdd~1 X-ray weak
- But disc peaks in soft X-rays overionises UV
- M~10⁹⁻¹⁰⁻, L/LEdd~1 Disc peaks in UV NOT soft X-rays.
- This really is PERFECT for UV line driving
- SED of APM08726
- Biggest high z UFO
- BAL QSO
- M~10¹⁰ Msun

2017

Hagino et al

An additional component?

Reflected/smeared hard X-rays?

0.1 0.01 keV² (Photons cm⁻² s⁻¹ keV⁻¹) Mdot M103 10-4 10-⁶ 100 10 1 Energy (keV)

An additional component?

