$1.5\mathrm{m}$ telescope reflectometry data v1.2

Source: http://www.ctio.noao.edu/telescopes/opteng/

Document transcribed by Jacqueline Seron July 6, 2020

$1.5\mathrm{m}$ telescope reflectometry data

Contents

About this document	3
1.5m telescope reflectometry data	4
Primary Mirror	4
3 APRIL 01	4
SEPTEMBER and NOVEMBER 00	4
MARCH 00	5
SEPTEMBER 98 - MARCH 00	6
2 SEPTEMBER 98	11
Secondary mirrors 1	11

About this document

The goal of this document is to provide a bit of history of the procedures of washing of the 1.5m mirrors.

Source: http://www.ctio.noao.edu/telescopes/opteng/reflec1.5m.html

1.5m telescope reflectometry data

Primary Mirror:

3 APRIL 01:

Reflectometry and BRDF data with Iris:

5.3% (470nm), 85.7% (530nm), 83.1% (650nm), 82.5% (880nm) 4.6% (+20deg), 4.3% (-15deg), 2.0% (-45deg)

This corresponds to a 1.1% reflectivity loss per month at 530nm (average over 5 months), about twice higher than usual.

SEPTEMBER and NOVEMBER 00:

Regular washing in September improved reflectivity by 8.5% in average. Reflectometry and BRDF data with Iris (before/after wash respectively):

 $\begin{array}{c} 83.1/92.4\% \ (470 {\rm nm}), \ 83.7/92.7\% \ (530 {\rm nm}), \\ 80.7/89.1\% \ (650 {\rm nm}), \ 81.1/89.0\% \ (880 {\rm nm}) \ 4.8/0.1\% \ (+20 {\rm deg}), \\ 4.3/0.2\% \ (-15 {\rm deg}), \\ 5.1/0.02\% \ (-45 {\rm deg}) \end{array}$

These numbers are actually close (some are even slightly higher!) than what is quoted in the literature for a new coating. In October, rain leaked through the dome shutter and contaminated M1 (lots of long ugly white stripes across the mirror): in the bad areas (about 20% of the mirror), about 6% loss was measured but it was well recovered with washing in November (only about 1.8% less compared to previous wash). The reflectivity and BRDF improved to:

 $\begin{array}{c} 91.5\%~(470 {\rm nm}),~91.8\%~(530 {\rm nm}),\\ 87.5\%~(650 {\rm nm}),~87.6\%~(880 {\rm nm})\\ 0.2\%~(+20 {\rm deg}),~0.2\%~(-15 {\rm deg}),\\ 0.02\%~(-45 {\rm deg}) \end{array}$

4 - Back to Contents

MARCH 00:

Mirror washed on the 21st, see the plots of reflectivity (see figure and scattering (see figure 1, the numbers after the last aluminizing are also plotted for reference). All data with the Minolta.



Figure 1: Reflectivity and Scattering on 1.5m mirror after aluminizing

SEPTEMBER 98 - MARCH 00: regular CO2 cleaning

See the plots of reflectivity (figure 2) and scattering (figure 3) for that period (data with the Minolta).



Figure 2: Reflectivity before and after the Wash 00



1.5m before and after CO2 cleaning

Figure 3: Scattering before and after the Wash 00

Reflectivity decreases in average by 2.5% per year and scatter increases by 2.0% per year. There seems to be a seasonal trend as the slopes are much higher in 'summer' (October to April) when the site humidity is higher (32-55%), which makes the dust stick more easily onto the glass: summer slope for R and SC is about 0.63% per month, whereas it is only about 0.05% per month in 'winter' (May to September, RH is 12-32%).

Numerical data: in parenthesis (last column) is the number of points sampled on the mirror for each result. Each point on the mirror is sampled 3 times (averaged by the reflectometer). On some dates (like 10Jan98), we also indicate the variations (+/-) in the data. For the first row, replace "before/after CO2 cleaning" by "before/after Al". The case "before Al" means the mirror was CO2 dusted and measured just before realuminizing.

DO	· •	• 1	1	-
Reflec	t 1 T	$T \uparrow T$		hm
TUTUU	UL V	viuy	<u> </u>	·om

DATE		% Refle	ctivity			% Sca	ttering		Elapsed time
	before	5 CO2	after	CO2	before	; CO2	after	CO2	(weeks) between
				clear	ning				new Al and CO2
	$400 \mathrm{nm}$	$700 \mathrm{nm}$							
2-Sep-98	87.42	84.22	93.46	89.11	4.49	4.40	1.47	0.77	new aluminium
-/+	0.47	0.13	0.74	0.50	0.71	0.39	1.41	0.71	(3)
10-Sep-98	95.16	90.1	94.53	89.75	0.61	0.43	0.49	0.35	1 (1)
14-Oct-98	94.1	88.89	95.23	90.05	1.06	0.80	0.63	0.54	6(2)
13-Nov-98	no data								10(0)
11-Dec-98	91.59	87.23	93.58	89.08	1.95	1.61	1.51	1.18	14(4)
10-Jan-99	91.62	86.91	93.69	88.76	1.77	1.98	1.41	1.32	18
-/+	1.78	0.83	0.9	0.78	0.01	0.04	0.16	0.22	(4)
19-Fev-99	91.18	86.46	92.24	87.58	3.34	3.34	3.12	2.55	23(3)
19-Mar-99	92.34	87.46	92.59	88.06	2.61	2.56	2.69	2.11	27(3)
17-Apr-99	92.27	87.55	92.63	87.93	2.94	2.45	2.91	2.24	$31 \ (3)$
16-May-99	92.18	87.64	92.43	87.89	2.75	2.38	2.69	2.18	35(3)
13-Jun-99	92.58	87.87	92.75	88.12	2.54	2.25	2.44	2.02	39(3)
10-Jul-99	91.59	87.7	91.61	87.91	2.75	2.39	2.66	2.16	43(3)
8-Aug-99	91.44	87.61	91.78	87.91	2.57	2.3	2.38	2.01	47(3)
4-Sept-99	91.45	87.21	92.35	87.84	2.81	2.42	2.55	2.09	51(3)
3-Oct-99	91.31	86.96	91.56	87.06	3.21	2.61	3.09	2.55	55(3)
30-Oct-99	90.96	86.87	91.19	87.3	3.24	2.80	3.25	2.45	59(3)
29-Nov-99	91.08	86.7			3.64	3.28			63(3) no cleaning
23-Dec-99	90.52	86.39	91.84	87.42	4.04	3.48	3.62	2.75	67(3)
22-Jan-00									71 no data taken
6-Feb-00	87.88	84.63	88.98	85.63	5.57	4.86	5.14	4.05	73(3)
17-Mar-00	87.34	84.27	88.1	85.18	5.55	5.03	5.35	4.25	78 (3)
21-Mar-00	88.3	84.69	92.66	89.03	5.62	4.68	2.20	1.09	78(3) WASH

9 - Back to Contents

See the efficiency plots of the CO2 cleaning: reflectivity (figure 4) and scattererometry (figure 5). Average gain per cleaning session is R+0.70% and SC-0.30% in the visible.



1.5m tel: gain of CO2 cleaning (Rafter - Rbefore)

Figure 4: Reflectivity efficiently plot for CO2 cleaning



1.5 m tel: gain of CO2 cleaning (SCafter - SCbefore)

Figure 5: Scattererometry efficiently plot for CO2 cleaning

2 SEPTEMBER 98:

Aluminizing, see the plot of reflectivity and scattering before and after aluminizing (last one was in Apr 97).

SECONDARY MIRRORS

Aluminized together on August 26, 1999. Measurements with the Minolta. See figures 7 and 8 for mirror reflectometry and scatterometry for F13.5 and F/7.5 respectively.

Last update: 18 April 01.



Figure 6: Reflectivity and Scattering before and aluminizing



Figure 7: F/13.5 mirror reflectometry and scatterometry



Figure 8: F/7.5 mirror reflectometry and scatterometry