

Ampere's law:

$$\text{MHD} : \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{j}$$

⑧

Stoke theorem:

for a wire

$$\text{Plasma } \beta = \frac{E_{\text{thermal}}}{E_{\text{magnetic}}} = \frac{8\pi P}{B^2}$$

$$\iint \nabla \times \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{A} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \iint \mathbf{j} \cdot d\mathbf{A}$$

Stoke theorem \rightarrow $B_\phi \cdot 2\pi r = \frac{4\pi}{c} I$

$$B_\phi = \frac{2I}{cr}$$



$$E_{\text{thermal}} = P ; E_{\text{magnetic}} = \frac{B^2}{8\pi} \quad (\text{derive later})$$

for tokamak $B < 1 \quad \therefore E_{\text{th}} < E_{\text{mag}}$

tokamak built for contain plasma in magnetic field. $\therefore E_{\text{mag}}$ is stronger.

force equation: $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} + \nabla p$

$$\frac{B^2}{8\pi} > \frac{P}{L}$$

if $\mathbf{F} = 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} = 0$

can't find ^{analytical} ~~numerical~~ solutions since, $(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B} = 0$
 \therefore need to use numerical simulation

$$\mathbf{j} = \alpha \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \quad \therefore \mathbf{j} \text{ is parallel to } \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}$$

α is a scalar

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = 0$$

$$\therefore \nabla \alpha (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) = 0$$

$$\nabla \alpha \cdot \mathbf{B} + \alpha \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = 0$$

When the magnetic field is strong $\mathbf{F} \approx 0$

define M-field,

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla r \times \nabla \phi ; r \text{ and } \phi \text{ are scalar functions}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \nabla \cdot (\nabla r \times \nabla \phi) = 0 \quad \text{note book}$$

\therefore Simplify the simulation

but $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\nabla r \times \nabla \phi) \rightarrow$ will leads to complex eq form \therefore not a good way to solve.

Need to find solutions based on Symmetry of B.C.

from book: (M-field in cylindrical coordinates)

$$(\nabla \times \mathbf{B})_r = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial z}$$

$$; (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})_z = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_\phi) - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial B_r}{\partial \phi}$$

$$(\nabla \times \mathbf{B})_\phi = \frac{\partial B_r}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial r}$$

$$(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_r) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_r)$$

8

we know,

∴ no change in ϕ, z direction
∴ Cylindrical Symmetry

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

$$\therefore 0 = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_r)$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_r)$$

$$k = \partial B_r \quad ; \quad k \text{ is a constant.}$$

$$\frac{k}{r} = B_r \quad \text{but at } r=0 \quad B_r = 0 \quad (\text{it can't be correct.})$$

$$\therefore k = 0$$

$$\therefore B_r = 0$$

$$\therefore (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})_\phi = -\frac{\partial B_z}{\partial r} = J_\phi \quad \leftarrow \text{let's use this with } \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$$

$$(\nabla \times \mathbf{B})_r = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial z} \quad \text{due to cylindrical symmetry}$$

$$\therefore (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})_r = 0 = J_r$$

$$(\nabla \times \mathbf{B})_z = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_\phi) = J_z$$

to find force

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} = J_\phi B_z - J_z B_\phi = F_r \quad ; \quad F_z = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F_\phi = 0 \quad \text{are zero}$$

Since B_r and $J_r = 0$

assumption $\mathbf{F} = 0$ (force free field)

$$\therefore F_r = 0 = -\frac{\partial B_z}{\partial r} \cdot B_z - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_\phi) \cdot B_\phi$$

$$0 = + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{B_z^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{r} B_\phi^2 - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_\phi) \cdot B_\phi$$

$$0 = + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{B_z^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_\phi^2}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{B_\phi^2}{2} \right)$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{B_z^2}{2} + \frac{B_\phi^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_\phi^2}{r}$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B^2 + \frac{2 B_\phi^2}{r}$$

$$\therefore \mathbf{B} = B_r \hat{r} + B_\phi \hat{\phi} + B_z \hat{z}$$

$$B^2 = B_\phi^2 + B_z^2$$

there can be many solution for this

$$\text{ex: } B_r = 0$$

$$B_z = \frac{B_0}{1 + b^2 r^2}$$

$$B_\phi = \frac{B_0 b r}{1 + b^2 r^2}$$

(golden-horn solutions) / Lundquist field solutions

Proof:

$$\text{R.H.S.} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B^2 + \frac{2 B_\phi^2}{r}$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{B_0^2 b^2 r^2}{(1 + b^2 r^2)^2} + \frac{B_0^2}{(1 + b^2 r^2)^2} \right) + \frac{2 B_0^2 b^2 r}{(1 + b^2 r^2)^2}$$

$$R.H.S \equiv B_0^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{(b^2 r^2 + 1)}{(1 + b^2 r^2)^2} \right) + \frac{2 B_0^2 b^2 r}{r(1 + b^2 r^2)}$$

$$\equiv B_0^2 \left(-\frac{1 \times b^2 \cdot 2r}{(1 + b^2 r^2)^2} \right) + \frac{2 B_0^2 b^2 r}{(1 + b^2 r^2)^2}$$

$$\equiv 0 \equiv L.H.S$$

H.W. (tips): $f(r) = B^2$ (need to be positive) - define B^2 as a function

$$B_\phi^2 = -\frac{r}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \quad \text{and} \quad B_z^2 = B^2 - B_\phi^2$$

for example (previous case)

$$f(r) = B^2 = B_\phi^2 + B_r^2 + B_z^2 = \frac{B_0^2}{(1 + b^2 r^2)}$$

* Solar flares are due to Lorentz force.
When there is no equilibrium solution